CHOLERA CONTROL

SESSION 4: OPEN DIALOGUE TO DISCUSS SUCCESSES, LESSONS

LEARNT, PROBLEMS/GAPS, BOTTLE NECKS ON WASH FOR THE 3

GTFCC WASH Working Group Annual meeting June 17th, 2024

AXES

Session 4: Open dialogue to discuss successes, lessons learnt, problems/gaps, bottle necks on WASH for the 3 axes

Session Structure (90 min)

- Introduction (10 min)
- Group Discussions (30 min)
- Plenary Discussion (50 min)

Outputs

...which will be the basis of the Axis focused sessions this afternoon/tmrw:

- List of high level Gaps / Problems and Lessons/Opportunities
 - Classified by Axis as well as whether it is in our control, can be influenced, or requires collaboration with other Pillars

Group Discussion

1. Each person

- a) lists ideas on post-it notes
- b) classifies them on the board in the appropriate boxes *(Less than 5 mins)*


2. Discussions (Group Moderators)

- 1. Consolidation and alignment consensus
 - Can we consolidate similar ideas?
 - Are they in the right places? (do we need to duplicate some ideas in multiple boxes?)
- 2. Discussion around each key idea
 - Why is this important? Evidence, examples?
 - What needs to be considered for each idea?

3. Consolidation (Note-Takers)


- In parallel with discussions, as consensus forms and ideas are shared
- Agreed consolidated ideas drafted on fresh post-its and put in place on clean board
- Hand writing some quick notes around each of the post-its on the details 'why' / 'considerations'

		Axis 1: Control	Axis 2: Prevention	Axis 3: Coordination
In our control	Could collaborative address in GTFCC WASH Working Group			
	(e.g. Technical Guidance, Research)			
Not in our control but We can influence	Could only contribute towards (e.g. Advocacy)			
Partly in our control	Could collaborate on with other GTFCC Pillars			




		Axis 1: Control	Axis 2: Prevention	Axis 3: Coordination
In our control	Could collaborative address in [GTFCC WASH Working Group	Gap: Lack IPC toolkit Gap: No IPC toolkit		
	(e.g. Technical Guidance, Research)	GAP: Lack of training materials		
Not in our control but We can influence	Could only contribute towards (e.g. Advocacy)		Proble Lack of country f level bu for NC	in- iigh- y in
Partly in our control	Could collaborate on with other GTFCC Pillars	Opportunity: Emerging CATI+ evidence		

		Axis 1: Controt	Axis 2: Prevention	Axis 3: Coordination	
In our control	Could collaborative address in GTFCC WASH Working Group (e.g. Technical Guidance, Research)	Gap: Lack IPC toolkit Gap: No IPC toolkit GAP: Lack of training materials			 A: "You have a toolkit?" B: "Yes its lovely!" C: "No, it isn't. I've seen it. Its irrelevant" B: "Hey! But it's hand written! My mom loves it!"
Not in our control but We can influence	Could only contribute towards (e.g. Advocacy)		Problem: Lack of in- country high- level buy in for NCPs	-	Moderator: " <i>Enough</i> ! Save it for the afternoon session!"
Partly in our control	Could collaborate on with other GTFCC Pillars	Opportunity: Emerging CATI+ evidence			We will unpack and debate later



		Axis 1: Control	Axis 2: Prevention	Axis 3: Coordination	A: "What you mean?"
In our control	Could collaborative address in GTFCC WASH Working Group (e.g. Technical Guidance, Research)	Gap: Lack IPC toolkit IPC toolkit GAP: Lack of training materials			 B: "People don't know what to do!" C: "Who? When? Details plz" B: "In the Countryland outbreak I saw the X-people unsure how to do Y-actions! Y-actions! How did they not
Not in our control but We can influence	Could only contribute towards (e.g. Advocacy)		Problem: Lack of in- country high- level buy in for NCPs		know to do Y-actions" A: "Ahh yeah, same in Otherland, but Z-people" Moderator : " <u>Notetaker</u> ! Are
Partly in our control	Could collaborate on with other GTFCC Pillars	Opportunity: Emerging CATI+ evidence			you writing this all down?!"

What does your final Table look like?



		Yes lovely thanks
In our control	collaborative address in GTFCC WASH Working Group	Gap: IPC toolkit Examples given (Country Z, A) on colleagues not finding quality IPC Guidance, Consideration: some guidance exists, would need review of existing guidance
	(e.g. Technical Guidance, Research)	GAP: Lack of training materials Agree that X Y and Blah blah Considerations of XXX
Not in our control but We can influence	Could only contribute towards (e.g. Advocacy)	Problem: Lack of in-country high-level buy in for NCPs
Partly in our control	Could collaborate on with other GTFCC Pillars	Opportunity: Emerging CATI+ evidence



Groups

	1	2	3 FR	4	5
Moderator	Jenny Lamb	Daniele Lantagne	Christophe Valingot	Monica Ramos	Tom Handzel
Note Taker	John Oldfield	Madison Moon	Baptiste Lecuyot	Adam Drolet	Michelle Farrington
	Catherine Makwe	Stephen Ohize	Desire Nturo	Abhishek Rimal	Md Taufiqul Islam
	Maggie Montgomery	Jacqueline Knee	Flavio Finger	Christine Marie George	Osama Ali Maher
	Irene Owusu-Poku	Kate Alberti	Alex Machado	Yasir Syed Ahmad	Kyla Smith
	Elisabetta Pegurri	Chris Brewer	Andrea Buletti	Hachem Znaidi	Bruce Gordon
	Albert Reichert		Elke Johanna de Buhr		

Online Group							
Moderator	Laurent Sax						
Note Taker	Christian Snoad	Nosheen Usman	Megan Wilson-Jones				
	Stuart Vallis	Romain Prado	Md Mahbubur Rahman	Arielle Nylander	Laura Grobicki		
	Suman Kanungo	Samuel Mazingue	Jean Lapegue	Mohammad Sakkour	Lauren D'Mello-Guyett		
	Yael Velleman	Milca Agbenou	Marielle Snel	Omar El Hattab	Marie Bombin		