Effectiveness of hygiene kit distribution to reduce cholera transmission in Kasaï-Oriental, Democratic Republic of Congo: a prospective cohort study and process evaluation

> Lauren D'Mello-Guyett lauren.dmello-guyett@lshtm.ac.uk



#### Partners





Placide Okitayemba Welo



Lauren, D'Mello-Guyett, Rob D'hondt, Sharla Bonneville, Maria Mashako, Brunette Nakoka, Alexandre Gorski, Dorien Verheyen, Rafael Van Den Bergh





Lauren, D'Mello-Guyett, Oliver Cumming, Francesco Checchi

## Introduction



- The Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) accounts for 5-14% of the global cholera burden annually, with >56,000 cholera cases and 1190 deaths in 2017 alone
- DRC has been experiencing outbreaks of cholera annually since the 1970s, whilst also experiencing multiple humanitarian crises across the country that in turn exacerbate the risk of cholera epidemics 3-6
- 80% cholera transmission is within the household
- Household contacts have 100 times risk of cholera
- Transmission through shared drinking water, food and caring responsibilities
- 1. Ali et al (2015) PLoS Negl Trop Dis
- 2. Ingelbeen et al (2019) Emerg Inf Dis
- 3. WHO (2017) Cholera Report
- 4. Zarocostas (2018) Lancet
- 5. Bompangue et al (2011) Emerg Inf Dis
- 6. Bom[angue et al (2009) Emerg Inf Dis

- 7. Domman et al (2018) Nat Genet
- 8. Weil et al. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2014; 91: 738-42
- 9. Weil et al. Clin Inf Dis 2009; 49: 1473-9
- 10. George et al. Emerg Inf Dis 2016; 22: 233-41
- 11. Hughes et al. Bull WHO 1982; 38: 395-404
- 12. Mosely et al. Bull WHO 1968; 38:335-46

- 13. Glass et al. Am J Epidemiol 1982; 116: 959
- 1. Spira et al. Bull WHO 1085: 58: 701-70
- 14. Spira et al. Bull WHO 1965; 56: /31-40
- 15. Dizon et al. Bull WHO 1967; 37: 737-43
- 16. Burrowes et al. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2017
- 17. Sugimoto et al. PloS NTD 2014; 8
- 18. Hartley et al (2006) PLOS MED

- 19. George et al (2018) PLOS NTDS
- 20. Taylor et al (2015) PLOS ONE
- 21. Richterman et al (2018) J Inf Dis
- 22. Richterman et al (2019) BMJ Global Health





- To investigate the effectiveness of hygiene kit distribution combined with health promotion to reduce suspected cholera and self-reported diarrhoea among household contacts of suspected cholera patients admitted to MSF-supported CTUs in Kasaï-Oriental province, DRC.
- 2. To identify the successes and barriers of the hygiene kit distribution strategy for cholera control in order to understand delivery, use and scalability, and to propose recommendations to optimise future programmes.

## Methods: study site



- Democratic Republic of Congo
  - Kasaï-Oriental province
  - Kasansa district
- ~230,000 people
- Limited road access, low SES & limited number of HCF
- High burden on cholera in 2017-2018
- Outbreak started: 9th August 2018 (Week 28)



# Methods: study design/s



### A prospective cohort study:

- Enrolment of suspected cholera patients and their patient-household sets at CTUs
- Baseline data collection within 48 hrs
- Households re-visited after seven days
- Data analysed for the association between hygiene kit use and disease outcomes, as well as the evolution of water and food contamination from enrolment to 7-day follow-up.

#### A process evaluation:

- Ran in parallel to the prospective cohort study
- Explored three domains of implementation of the intervention, participants' responses to the intervention and the context in which it was delivered.



Data collected between October 2018 – February 2019

#### **Prospective cohort study population:**

- 94 suspected cholera cases / households
- 444 household contacts\*

#### Process evaluation study population:

- 27 households who had received a hygiene kit (13 female; average age 43 years)
- 17 **implementers** (7 from MSF, 4 local government, 6 other NGOs; 3 female)
- 34 programme reports / datasets

\* Household contacts were defined as individuals sleeping under the same roof and sharing a cooking pot with the suspected cholera case during at least the previous five days.

# Methods: study intervention

- One hygiene kit per household, accompanied by standard WASH-related health promotion messages, was delivered by community health workers (CHWs) to the household contacts of patients on the day of the patient's admission to either of the two MSFsupported Cholera Treatment Units.
- Hygiene kit included a 10l handwashing device (bucket with tap, 20l jerrycan, water treatment products (Aquatabs<sup>™</sup> disinfectant and/or P&G Purifier of Water<sup>™</sup> combined flocculant/disinfectant ) and 1kg of soap











To measure WASH conditions and uptake and use of the intervention

## QUANTITATIVE TOOLS & LABORATORY WORK



Individual surveys

To measure self-reported clinical outcomes including symptomatic cholera and/or diarrhoea



To measure environmental contamination with indicator bacteria *Enterococcus* spp.





## Review of inventories (supply chain manifests, receipts)

### QUALITATIVE & QUANTITATIVE TOOLS



### Review of clinical data



Structured observations at Health Care Facility (HCFs)



Review of project documents



Interviews (semi-structured & in-depth)

# Summary of results: prospective cohort study



- Multivariate analysis suggested evidence of a dose-response relationship with increased kit use associated with decreased risk of suspected cholera: household contacts in the high kit-use group had a 66% lower incidence of suspected cholera, the mid-use group had a 53% lower incidence and low-use group had 22% lower incidence, compared to household contacts who had not received a hygiene kit.
- Drinking water contamination was also significantly reduced among households in receipt of a kit.
- There was no significant effect on self-reported diarrhoea or food contamination among this study population.

# Summary of results: process evaluation



#### Successes:

- ✓ Majority received kits at admission
- ✓ Demonstrations clear
- ✓ Households satisfied with kit contents and used all components
- ✓ High adherence to handwashing device, jerrycan and soap
- ✓ Overall improvements in household WASH conditions\*

### **Barriers:**

X Delayed cholera response X Delayed supply of kits X Limited supply of kits X Kits insufficient for 1-month use X Poor recall of water treatment practices by households X Limited water supply geographically





- Integration of WASH at the **point of admission** of suspected cases is possible and a promising intervention for case-targeted cholera control
- Positive **response, use and adherence** to hygiene kits by households
- But...there are barriers to the **timely supply, inadequate availability** and consequent **limited coverage** of the hygiene kits
- Further work is required to **identify ways to improve** implementation and delivery of this promising intervention.

## Timeline





• Project inception by MSF and LSHTM in May 2016

- Scoping visits to South Sudan and Nigeria
- Development or study protocols
- Ethical approval applications process to LSHTM and MSF
- Scoping visit to Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
- Ethical approval amendments and application in DRC
- Data collection in DRC between August 2018-December 2018
- Data analysis
- Manuscript writing
- End of the project in January 2020
- Submission and publication of 3/4 research outputs





- 1. D'Mello-Guyett L, Gallandat K, Van den Bergh R, Taylor D, Bulit G, Legros D, et al. Prevention and control of cholera with household and community water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) interventions: A scoping review of current international guidelines. PLoS One. 2020;15(1):e0226549.
- 2. D'Mello-Guyett L, Greenland K, Bonneville S, D'Hondt R, Mashako M, Gorski A, et al. Distribution of hygiene kits during a cholera outbreak in Kasai-Oriental, Democratic Republic of Congo: a process evaluation. Conflict & Health. 2020;14:51.
- 3. D'Mello-Guyett L, Cumming O, Bonneville S, D'Hondt R, Mashako M, et al. Effectiveness of hygiene kit distribution to reduce cholera transmission in Kasaï-Oriental, Democratic Republic of Congo: a prospective cohort study. BMJ Open (Under Review)
- 4. D'Mello-Guyett L, Cumming O, Rogers E, D'Hondt R, Mashako M, et al. Responding to cholera in crises: a scoping review of cholera responses from Mozambique, Malawi and the Democratic Republic of Congo, 2010-2018. (Publication In Development)