




GLOBAL TASK FORCE ON
CHOLERA CONTROL


FRAMEWORK FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A MULTISECTORAL NATIONAL CHOLERA PLAN
(NCP) – GTFCC SUPPORTING MECHANISMS TO COUNTRIES

Lorenzo Pezzoli
3-4 June 2019






THE CHOLERA TOOLBOX




THEORY OF CHANGE OF THE *GLOBAL ROADMAP*



TO ASSIST COUNTRIES, THE GTFCC HAS DEVELOPED A NCP FRAMEWORK DOCUMENT WITH TEMPLATES AND TOOLS

Key Elements	Activities to develop NCP	Examples of Roadmap activities	Tools and templates	Resource document
				
Contains guidance on key elements of an NCP	Provides a list of activities to be undertaken as part of NCP development	Provides examples of potential activities to achieve the multi-sectoral Roadmap goals	Contains standardized tools and templates for developing activities and budgets	Compiles relevant technical resources for the multi-sectoral approach

ATTRIBUTES OF THE NATIONAL CHOLERA PLAN (NCP)



Country-led

NCP development, implementation, and monitoring is country-led and will consider relevant data (situational analysis)



Multi-sectoral & coordinated at the highest level

Contains high-level details of planned activities and budgets, with responsible parties (e.g. health sector, WASH sector, infrastructure, finance)



Multi-year


Multi-year document that defines a country's goals and milestones for the multi-sectoral Roadmap approach



Dynamic & Adaptable

Remains dynamic where the activities and budgets can be monitored and revised on a regular basis


COUNTRIES DEVELOP MULTI-SECTORAL NATIONAL CHOLERA PLANS (NCP) IN LINE WITH #ENDCHOLERA ROADMAP



GTFCC SUPPORTS COUNTRIES THROUGHOUT THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS BY PROVIDING COUNTRY-SPECIFIC TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND GUIDANCE

OVERVIEW OF GTFCC SUPPORT TO THE NCP DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION

PROCESS INTENDED TO SUPPORT COUNTRIES WITH REVIEWING PRACTICALLY THE NCP TO ENSURE STANDARDIZATION AND FACILITATE ACCESS TO FINANCIAL AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT FROM DONORS AND PARTNERS



IRP GOVERNANCE AND PROCESS

KEY PRINCIPLES OF GTFCC ENDORSEMENT



- The IRP members are accountable to the GTFCC Steering Committee
- On behalf of the GTFCC Steering Committee, the GTFCC Secretariat will be responsible for managing the IRP process, activities can include:
 - Identifying candidate members for SC approval
 - Ensuring timely discussions and communications between IRP members and countries
- The GTFCC Sec does not serve as a member of the IRP


IRP PROPOSED COMPETENCIES

INDEPENDENT AND OBJECTIVE

The IRP members serve in their individual capacity and do not represent their employer, government or any other entity and must disclose to the GTFCC Secretariat any interest, affiliation or other factor that may create an actual or perceived conflict of interest.

MAINTAINS UP-TO-DATE ON SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE AND GTFCC GUIDANCE

Up-to-date knowledge of the latest scientific evidence related to cholera, GTFCC guidance on the development of NCPs and normative guidance relating to cholera



CHOLERA TECHNICAL AND OPERATIONAL EXPERTISE

Technical expertise across any of the Global Roadmap Pillars: epidemiology, surveillance, case management, OCV, WASH, or community engagement

GEOGRAPHICALLY DIVERSE WITH RANGE OF ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERIENCE


Experience working in country governments, civil society organisations, or other partners in multiple developing countries and in different contexts

STRONG PROGRAMMATIC EXPERIENCE


Strong programmatic experience in developing, implementing, budgeting, managing, and evaluating and / or reviewing programs related to disease control or elimination at the country level

GTFCC PRACTICAL REVIEW WILL FOCUS ON THREE KEY AREAS

7 CONDITIONS MUST BE MET TO OBTAIN GTFCC ENDORSEMENT



THE SEVEN CONDITIONS FOR ENDORSEMENT



POST-ENDORSEMENT, COUNTRIES WILL RECEIVE SUPPORT FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THEIR ROADMAP ACTIVITIES

Financial Support



Coordination



Surveillance



Supplies and commodities

Technical Support



Technical support and guidance – human resources, implementation support, monitoring and evaluation



Capacity building



Communications and advocacy support

ANNUAL REPORTING WILL BE REQUIRED AND PUBLISHED ONLINE

WITH SUPPORT OF GTFCC, COUNTRIES WILL ROUTINELY MONITOR AND COLLECT DATA AS WELL AS REPORT ON ROADMAP PROGRESS AT ANNUAL MEETING

Overview


NCP Status:

Developing Situational Analysis


Number of districts identified as hotspots of total districts

10/100

Total population in the hotspot by sex (male & female) and age (2018)




Funding committed by country; received by donors; and unfunded



OCV

Years 2014-2018

- Doses requested:
- Doses approved:
- Doses shipped:
- Doses utilized:



Number vaccinated


- 1st dose
- 2nd dose



Epidemiology & Surveillance (linked to GTFCC global disease burden database)

Years 2014-2018


- Suspected cases:
- Deaths:
- Mean Incidence:
- Proportion of weeks experiencing outbreaks:
- Date of declaration of outbreak



Map of laboratory locations and areas they cover

WASH

WASH Coverage by district for SDG 6.1.1 and 6.2.1



GROUP WORK- 50 MINUTES KEY POINTS FOR DISCUSSION AND VALIDATION

Group 1: IRP process

- How do you recommend to nominate IRP members?
- Do you agree with the proposed competencies of the IRP?
- What is an appropriate size of the IRP?
- Should the IRP be country-specific (ad hoc review by specifically identified GTFCC members) or generic (ad hoc review by a fixed representation of GTFCC members that will review every NCP submitted)?
- What are the key risks / benefits of a country-specific IRP?

Facilitator: Stefano Malvolti
Rapporteur: Mazyanga Mazaba Liwewe
Room: Simone Merieux

Group 2: Endorsement criteria

- Do you agree that countries must meet 7 conditions to receive endorsement?
- Do 40+ criteria capture how a country will implement the multi-sectoral approach of the global Roadmap?
- Are there any missing criteria, if so, please state

Facilitator: Melissa Ko
Rapporteur: Kelly Elimian
Room: Ogobara Doumbo

Group 3: Annual reporting

- Are the annual indicators sufficient to monitor global progress towards achieving Roadmap goals?
- Are there any indicators that we could remove to facilitate reporting?
- Are there any additional areas that should be reported on?
- What is the appropriate format to report the data?

Facilitator: Kristen Cox Mehling
Rapporteur: Prof. Azad
Room: Charles Merieux



GLOBAL TASK FORCE ON
CHOLERA CONTROL

THANK YOU